



Indirect Taxes NEWS

Newsletter
No. 05 | Winter 2017

© 2017
GGI | Geneva Group International

A photograph of a hand in a blue checkered shirt pointing towards a sign that says 'OPPORTUNITY'. The sign is mounted on a dark wood-grain wall.

OPPORTUNITY

Amnesty: US
Multistate Tax
Commission
Offers Remote
Sellers an
Opportunity
and more
current information

Editorial

Dear Reader,

This is the third Indirect Taxes Practice Group (IDTPG) Newsletter in which I have been involved in. Since the last Newsletter (No. 04 | Spring 2017), there has been and will be a lot of IDTPG activity.

The IDTPG session at the GGI European Regional Conference in Brussels in May of this year was very well attended. We looked at how to successfully manage (or not) a multi-jurisdictional cross-border VAT compliance assignment. My thanks to Ionut Zeche for assisting Toon Hasselman (Global Vice-Chairperson of our PG) and I with a very engaging talk on a practical example involving 26 EU Member States. Sabina Mexis and Pablo Garciga (IDTPG Regional Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson respectively) ran their first PG meeting at the GGI North American Regional Conference in Vancouver in June. This covered Indirect Tax aspects of Canada/USA cross-border transactions. Not only did this attract a good number of attendees but the third party feedback I received was very positive; well done to both.

Following up on this topic, Toon Hasselman and I also presented an Indirect Tax topic at the GGI Nordic-Baltic Meeting in September.

We have already planned the next IDTPG session for the upcoming GGI World Conference in Vienna. Given the VAT gap was little under EUR 160 billion in 2014 (and bound to have increased since), with VAT fraud being widespread throughout the EU, measures for controlling VAT collection being implemented, e.g. taxpayers having to provide detailed recapitulative statements, extension of the Reverse-Charge for some goods and services (amongst others), we will be running a session looking at how we as VAT consultants deal with simple questions that may unknowingly have a fraudulent backdrop and lead to questions about ethics, negligence, etc. The session will be presented with “bar-stool” commentary and in an open forum. We are hoping you will all join us, not least because we wish to bribe (or is it “imbibe”) you with drinks, nibbles and good company but also because, towards the end of the session, you will get a chance to mingle amongst



the GGI Indirect Taxes community.

I am pleased to present this Newsletter – which again has 13 articles on Indirect Taxes drafted by PG members from each of the five GGI regions and is therefore truly global in reach.

In this edition, we continue with the ‘VAT-in-brief’ themed series on “Import VAT Issues” with an article from Belgium and we start a second series focusing on “Events, Exhibitions and Conferences” from the Netherlands. It appears that the Dutch are introducing a new VAT regime currently known as TAR, something that is known in the UK as TOMS (Tour Operators Margin Scheme). This is well worth bearing in mind.

I commend the articles to you and trust you will enjoy reading them!

Steve McCrindle
Global Chairperson of the
GGI Indirect Taxes Practice Group

Disclaimer – The information provided in this newsletter came from reliable sources and was prepared from data assumed to be correct; however, prior to making it the basis of a decision, it must be double checked. Ratings and assessments reflect the personal opinion of the respective author only. We neither accept liability for nor are we able to guarantee the content. This publication is for GGI internal use only and intended solely and exclusively for GGI members.

Amnesty: US Multistate Tax Commission Offers Remote Sellers an Opportunity

By Pablo Garciga

Owning property in a state generally establishes tax nexus. This is true even if a Treaty between the US and a foreign country creates the legal fiction that the limited activities of the foreign vendor are not deemed to create a Permanent Establishment in the US for federal income tax purposes. Since the number of online vendors have increased, the situation often arises whereby an out-of-state vendor owns inventory held by an online marketer (e.g. Amazon) at the marketer's warehouse. This situation has the potential of creating nexus for the out-of-state vendor in many states.

The Multistate Tax Commission (an intergovernmental state tax agency) is offering a very favourable Voluntary

Disclosure Agreement ("VDA") programme for online marketplace sellers. The application period began on 17 August 2017 and runs through to 17 October 2017. Participating states include: Alabama, Arkansas, Colorado, Connecticut, Florida, Idaho, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Nebraska, New Jersey, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, and Wisconsin.

In order to be eligible for the programme, taxpayers must not be registered with the state, nor have filed returns or made payments to the state for the taxes for which the taxpayer is attempting to procure the VDA. This VDA is intended for taxpayers who have no connections to the participating state, other than inventory stored in a third party warehouse or at a fulfilment centre of the marketplace provider/facilitator.

fillment centre of the marketplace provider/facilitator.

This VDA programme has several unique and advantageous aspects. States' VDA agreements seldom abate interest (indeed, in many states, interest on underpayments is statutorily mandated). This VDA abates past tax liabilities and related interest and penalties. States' VDA programs generally contain lookback periods (states agree to limit the liability to a certain number of past years, e.g. the most recent three years) and abate whatever liabilities might exist for earlier years. This VDA does not generally contain a lookback period.

This VDA program applies to several taxes, including sales and use taxes, and a good faith estimate of back tax liability to the state for the prior four years is a required disclosure. Taxpayers are permitted to choose which states and which tax type for which to seek relief.

In consideration for the abatement of these liabilities and related interest and penalties, taxpayers are required to register by 1 December 2017 with the respective states and begin to collect and remit the appropriate sales tax on taxable transactions to customers in the state.

The 19 states participating in this VDA program have some flexibility on how to structure certain aspects of the programme (e.g. Wisconsin will require payment of back sales tax liability and interest for a lookback period of 1 January 2015 to present.)

Before choosing to participate, taxpayers must consider additional

...next page

GGI member firm

Funaro & Co. PC

Advisory, Auditing & Accounting, Tax
New York, NY, USA

T: +1 212 947 33 33

W: www.funaro.com

J. Pablo Garciga

E: pablo.garciga@funaro.com



J. Pablo Garciga

Funaro & Co. PC provide a wide range of services, including accounting and auditing, tax reporting and compliance, tax advisory, management consulting, and transaction advisory.

J. Pablo Garciga specialises in state and local taxes (SALT), with an emphasis on multi-state corporate income/franchise taxes and

sales and use taxes. He has over 20 years of cumulative SALT experience with Funaro and Big 4 Public Accounting firms. He is a CPA, JD with an LLM in Taxation.

funaro & co/pc

aspects of the program (e.g., possible sharing of taxpayer information among states). The participating states have agreed that they will not share taxpayer information regarding this VDA programme except as re-

quired by law, pursuant to court order, or in response to an inter-government exchange of information where the taxpayer's name and identification number are included in the request (i.e., blanket requests for information

will not be honoured).

This special VDA programme does not cover sales taxes collected but not remitted to the states (taxpayers must remit the taxes collected and pay interest and penalties on these liabilities).

VAT Switzerland – Calculation of Security for Foreign Companies

By Marc Nideröst

As of 1 August 2017, the security for a taxable person not resident or domiciled in Switzerland are calculated differently.

If a taxable person not resident or domiciled in Switzerland is not entered in the commercial register, a security needs to be provided in order to complete the registration for VAT purposes. This said security is usually paid in

cash or by setting up a bank guarantee with a bank domiciled in Switzerland. Previously, the security generally corresponded to the amount of the annual tax expected to be payable. It usually amounted to at least CHF 5,000 and at most CHF 250,000.

As of 1 August 2017, the security will usually be calculated as follows (special cases reserved):

3% of the expected taxable domestic turnover (excl. exports), at least CHF 2,000 or a maximum of CHF 250,000

The new calculation method cannot be applied retroactively.

According to the revised Swiss VAT act that will come into force on 1 January 2018, the turnover threshold under which a person is exempt from tax liability is not limited to the turnover generated in Switzerland any longer. Therefore, foreign companies will need to register for VAT purposes in Switzerland if their global turnover reaches CHF 100,000 p.a. and part of that turnover has been generated locally in Switzerland.

As of 1 January 2019, a company based abroad supplying low-value goods to Swiss customers will be liable for Swiss VAT, if the turnover generated with such supplies reaches CHF 100,000 p.a.

GGI member firm

Treuhand- und Revisionsgesellschaft

Mattig-Suter & Partner

Auditing & Accounting, Tax, Advisory,
Corporate Finance, Fiduciary &
Estate Planning

Altdorf, Brig, Schwyz, Zug, Switzerland

T: +41 41 819 54 00

W: www.mattig.ch

Marc Nideröst

E: marc.nideroest@mattig.ch



Marc Nideröst

Treuhand- und Revisionsgesellschaft Mattig-Suter & Partner, in the Canton of Schwyz, has been conducting business since 1960 and employs around 90 staff in total. The partnership provides market services in the areas of auditing, finance and accounting, tax consulting, business consulting and mediation as well as legal consulting, and its size means it has highly qualified specialists in all areas.

Marc Nideröst is the Leader of the Tax Consulting Department at Treuhand- und Revisionsgesellschaft Mattig-Suter und Partner und has specialised during the last years in the area of taxation, in particular for regional, national and international enterprises as well as in the area of Value Added Taxation.

**Mattig-Suter und Treuhand - und
Partner Schwyz Revisionsgesellschaft**



Anti-VAT Fraud Measures Postponed (again)

By Richard Jahoda

At its meeting on 16 June, the Economic and Financial Affairs Council (ECOFIN) discussed the compromise proposal prepared by the Maltese Council Presidency on anti-VAT fraud

measures, with the aim of reaching an agreement on this proposal in respect of the directive on a generalised VAT reverse charge mechanism. The discussion was concluded without an agreement, which requires unanimity in the Council. France and Slovenia opposed

the proposal.

The EU Council has been working on a proposal for a Council directive which aims to allow the application of a generalised VAT reverse charge mechanism to domestic transactions between businesses involving services or goods with an invoice exceeding EUR 10,000 based on the application of the Czech Republic and Austria, which are prepared to start the pilot project.

The aim is to help some member states that are particularly affected by VAT fraud and do not have sufficient measures to combat it, which could be the case in instances of VAT fraud schemes such as the 'carousel' or 'missing trader' schemes.

According to the European Commission, the VAT gap in the EU has reached nearly EUR 160 billion, of which about EUR 50 billion is attributable to cross-border fraud (in 2013).

The possibility of applying the reverse charge mechanism exists in the current system; however, its application is limited to a certain list of sectors. Its application is also limited in time. It was introduced by EC directive 2013/43/EU.

GGI member firm

Grinex Czech Republic

Advisory, Auditing & Accounting,

Corporate Finance, Tax

Prague, Czech Republic

T: +420 222 516 889

W: www.grinex.cz

Richard Jahoda

E: richard.jahoda@grinex.cz



Richard Jahoda

Grinex Czech Republic was established in 2012 by the merger of three professional firms with activities in Tax Consultancy, Accounting, Audit and Business Valuation since the 90's. Grinex provides services to both domestic and international clients across all industries.

Richard Jahoda is a Managing Partner



Grinex Czech Republic

of the Grinex Czech Republic and he is responsible for tax advisory and accounting advisory services. He has more than 20 years of experience in these areas. He is a certified tax advisor.

The German View on Cross-Border Tax Audits

By **Brigitte Jakoby**

Since the introduction of the Mini One Stop Shop (“MOSS”) within the EU, cross-border tax audits have been an important, but still unresolved issue. EU Member States have not yet been able to agree on harmonised rules for conducting cross-border tax audits. A leaflet published by the German Ministry of Finance on cross-border tax audits now seeks to answer some of these issues/questions. The leaflet deals with the purpose of cross-border audits.

In theory, cross-border tax audits seek to provide amicable solutions for all EU Member States, but the various national tax authorities determine the legal consequences independently, based on their own national VAT law. Hence, despite a coordinated external audit the tax authorities may take a different legal evaluation. So, whereas coordinated tax audits would reduce the risk of double taxation, they do not rule it out altogether.

The German Ministry of Finance differentiates between simultaneous and joint tax audits. Simultaneous tax audits are carried out by the national tax authorities at the same time, but independently. Tax authorities then exchange the information between each other. Joint

GGI member firm

**Jakoby Dr. Baumhof - Wirtschaftsprüfer
Steuerberater Rechtsanwälte**

Advisory, Auditing & Accounting,
Corporate Finance, Law Firm Services, Tax
Rothenburg o.d.T., Germany

T: +49 9861 9405 0

W: www.jakoby-baumhof.de

Brigitte Jakoby

E: brigitte.jakoby@jakoby-baumhof.de

**Jakoby Dr Baumhof – Auditors Tax
Consultants Lawyers** is a medium-sized interdisciplinary company located in the south of Germany, with offices in Rothenburg o.d. Tauber, located in Northern Bavaria, and Ebersberg, near Munich.

Brigitte Jakoby is a German Chartered Accountant and German Certified Tax Advisor. Since 1987 she has collaborated with



Brigitte Jakoby

her husband Eugen Jakoby, also a German Chartered Accountant and German Certified Tax Advisor. In 1996 she became one of the senior partners at Jakoby Dr. Baumhof.

**JAKOBY
DR. BAUMHOF**
Wirtschaftsprüfer
Steuerberater
Rechtsanwälte

tax audits would see the authorities investigate the facts together. This would avoid different interpretations. Another advantage of joint tax audits would be that the authorities determine the key areas of the tax audit together. This reduces the potential for conflicts. In the case of cross-border tax audits, the official language would remain German but the participating tax authorities and taxable

persons can agree on another language.

The leaflet of the German Ministry of Finance is a step in the right direction. It seeks to eliminate some of the legal uncertainties. The first tax audits concerning MOSS can be expected to occur in the not too distant future. Companies who participate in the MOSS should start preparing for such tax audits. The clock is ticking.

Split Payments in Poland

By **Artur Plutowski**

Despite the introduction of measures combatting VAT fraud introduced over the first half of 2017 (SAF-T reporting,

local reverse-charge in construction and changes to registration for VAT purposes procedure), the Polish government plans to implement a ‘split-payment mechanism’ from 2018.

Generally the mechanism would be voluntary but it may become mandatory for VAT fraud-sensitive sectors (e.g. fuel, alcohol, tobacco, electronics), and would apply to B2B transactions.

According to draft information, buyers might choose to pay the VAT element of the price to a dedicated bank account of sellers (VAT account). If the mechanism is chosen, buyers would enjoy some benefits (listed below). However, this may in turn cause the split payment to be obligatory for sellers.

Generally, the VAT account would be used solely for the following settlements:

- output tax due to tax authorities,
- refunds of surplus of input tax by tax authorities, or
- paying VAT element to suppliers.

The benefits foreseen for the buyer choosing the mechanism are as follows (inter alia):

- no joint and several liability (standard for VAT fraud-sensitive goods, e.g. fuel or pipes),
- direct refund of input tax surplus within 25 days,
- reduction of VAT amount due (disclosed in VAT return), if paid before statutory deadline, and
- penalty in the form of additional obligation (30% and 100%) would not be applicable.

Introduction of the mechanism trig-

GGI member firm
EFS Group Sp. z o.o.
 Corporate Finance, Tax
 Warsaw, Poland

T: +48 22 828 48 75

F: +48 22 528 67 01

W: www.efsgroup.eu

Artur Plutowski

E: artur.plutowski@efsgroup.eu



Artur Plutowski

EFS Group provides Polish and international tax and legal services to companies and HNWI both considering Polish investment opportunities and doing business in Poland. They work closely with their clients and provide added value that makes a real difference.

Artur Plutowski gained his experience over 17 years of continuous support to a wide range of clients, from large multinational groups to family owned businesses

and HNWI. The focus is on local and international structuring and restructuring, including VAT, M&A, litigation and transfer pricing.



EFS Group

gers several practical issues to be considered or reconsidered, for example:

- identifying purchasers choosing to apply the mechanism,
- finding new sources for financing their VAT liability,

- facing cash flow difficulties due to limited availability of cash accumulated on the VAT account, or
- reviewing of contractual payment conditions.

VAT in Brief – Imports in Belgium

By Filip Camps

Ostensibly, whenever a company is importing goods into Belgium, the goods will be subject to a Belgian import VAT charge at the point of entrance of the goods in Belgium (however, see ET 14.000 below).

Depending on the nature of the goods involved, a VAT rate of 0%, 1%, 6%, 12% or 21% will be due.

This import VAT can be claimed back
...next page



on the condition that the entity that is claiming back the VAT is subject to VAT.

The claim back can occur either by using the VAT portal, or by the introduction of a VAT return in case the entity involved is subject to quarterly or monthly VAT returns.

ET 14.000

In case the entity involved is subject to monthly or quarterly VAT returns, they can introduce an application to acquire a so-called ET 14.000 license.

By obtaining the license, the entity involved can defer declaring the import VAT due via a 'postponed accounting' mechanism to their VAT returns. The result will be that there is no cash pre-financing involved.

Foreign Businesses

Businesses that do not have an entity or permanent establishment in Belgium can opt for a direct VAT registration in circumstances where the foreign business belongs in the EU.

Please note that, in cases where a foreign business invoices under a direct Belgian VAT registration to another Belgian VAT number (not being a direct VAT registration of a foreign business), the reverse charge mechanism needs to

GGI member firm

ACCO Accountants

Advisory, Auditing & Accounting, Tax
Wilrijk, Belgium

T: +32 3 448 00 55

W: www.accogroup.be

Filip Camps

E: filip.camps@accogroup.be



Filip Camps

Running a business correctly administratively is not always enough to keep it competitive. For this reason, **Acco Accountants** does not limit itself to conducting and optimising accounting activities. It is positioned as an independent business advisor and consultant for both small- and medium-sized enterprises, as well as larger entities. This makes it possible to continuously improve a business from fiscal, legal and accounting standpoints. A dedicated team of employees

enable the firm to combine their professional capabilities with an enhanced accessibility.

Filip Camps has a Master in Economics with Post Graduate specialisation in taxes. He has won a number of prizes in taxation, including the CED.Samsom prize. He is an author on tax-related topics and a former professor in tax at the KHL in Leuven.



be used.

Non-EU businesses can have a General Fiscal Representative or a Simplified Fiscal Representative perform their Belgian VAT obligations.

Only the General Fiscal Representative can apply for and obtain the ET 14.000 license. Please also note that a General Fiscal Representative will need to pay a guarantee up to an amount equal to the expected VAT due during

the following twelve months.

For a Simplified Fiscal Representative the guarantee will be limited to 10% of this amount.

Finally, we want to draw your attention to the fact that Belgium has incorporated an extensive reverse charge mechanism in its internal VAT law. So please check upfront if this mechanism can be applied to avoid direct VAT registrations or Fiscal Representatives in Belgium.

Non-Resident Taxable Person under GST

By Aditya Kumar

With the introduction of GST in India, it is important for foreign corporations to understand the concept of non-resident taxable person ('NRTP'). The concept introduced under the GST laws has led to

raised eyebrows by the foreign companies doing, or intending to do, business with India.

Once a person falls within this definition there are compliance obligations for registration, payment of taxes and related compliance, including payment

of tax in advance, which makes it important to determine if one is a NRTP or not. On a simple reading of the definition of NRTP, it appears that every transaction of import, of either goods or services or both, by an overseas supplier would fall under the mischief of the definition.

So the anomaly one faces is that at one end the supplier may be considered an NRTP and pay tax in advance, and at the same time, the recipient pays tax under reverse charge. A review of the definition of NRTP reveals that it concerns the nature of the transaction as being “casual” or “intermittent”. Simply put, that which is not “regular” in nature.

Does this mean that a single once-off transaction or a transaction spread over less than six months involving supply of service would make the overseas supplier fall under the definition of NRTP and that multiple transactions or transactions spread over a period would not? Frankly, there are no answers to this question at present. The concept seems to have been picked up from that of a casual taxable person. But extrapolating the same to a non-resident gives birth to enormous confusion and complexity. Until such time that the Government issues clarification on the issue, in our opinion, entities should not yet register for GST, as in any case the question of jurisdiction of the Indian Government on NRTP will arise.

GGI member firm
Ashwani & Associates,
Chartered Accountants
Advisory, Auditing & Accounting,
Corporate Finance, Tax
Ludhiana, India
T: +91 98554 00428
W: www.ashwaniassociates.in
Aditya Kumar
E: aditya@ashwaniassociates.in



Aditya Kumar

Ashwani & Associates is an audit, tax and consulting firm in India with three offices. Their clients range from emerging entities to large corporations with billions of dollars as revenue. They include privately held businesses, not-for-profit organisations and publicly traded companies. Ashwani & Associates support a local, national and international client base.

Aditya Kumar is an FCA, LLB, MBA specialising in VAT/GST consulting in cross-

border business and foreign in India and abroad. He thus has experience and a vast working knowledge of all aspects of service tax, trade law, VAT and the like. Serving clients from national and international companies and having worked in every existing kind of indirect tax branch, he offers pragmatic solutions on a cost-effective basis. He is also the author of one of the first books on GST published by the leading tax book publisher in India.

ashwani & associates
chartered accountants

South African VAT Consequences on Foreign Rebates

By **Graeme Sagers and Simphiwe Mili**

The VAT consequences on rebates received from international companies is an issue not often considered. Very little legislation and case law exists governing the treatment of rebates received from international entities. Currently only binding general rulings provide for the treatment of local rebates in the Fast Moving Consumable Goods and the

Motor industries.

A recent matter required research into the VAT consequences on rebates received by a South African resident company from a foreign unrelated company. The South African company operates as a printer and ink products retailer. The company purchases goods for resale from a South African subsidiary of the aforementioned foreign company.

...next page



The South African company in turn receives a volume-based rebate from the foreign company for the number of printers and ink products purchased from the South African subsidiary.

The contentious issue in this case was whether there was the existence of a supply as the rebate was provided by the foreign company directly to the South African resident company, whilst

the exchange of the goods was between the South African resident company and the subsidiary. There was no direct transaction between the South African company and the foreign company. There were also no defined terms of the rebate and hence its existence did not provide any real incentive to sell more products.

The conclusion was that there was

VAT payable on the rebates received. This was due to the fact that even though the rebate was received from the foreign company it subsequently (however indirectly) decreased the price of the printers and ink products purchased from the local supplier. This would then constitute a local supply for VAT purposes.

GGI member firm

Nolands

Advisory, Auditing & Accounting,
Fiduciary & Estate Planning, Tax
More than 10 offices throughout Africa

T: +27 21 658 6600

W: www.nolandsadv.co.za

Graeme Saggars

E: graemes@nolandsadv.co.za

Simphiwe Mili

E: simphiwem@nolandscpt.co.za

Nolands is an international auditing firm located in eleven offices in all major centres in Africa. Nolands employs almost 200 people and is focused on providing the best possible solutions for its clients. The company prides itself on being “not ordinary” and on its ability to integrate services and respond rapidly to clients’ needs.



Graeme Saggars

Graeme Saggars is the Head of Tax for Nolands. He holds a BCom (Hons) degree from Rhodes University and a MCom (Tax) degree from the University of Cape Town. Graeme qualified as a Chartered Accountant in 2009 after completing his articles at KPMG. He joined Nolands in 2011 as an Audit Manager and was appointed as a Tax Partner at Nolands in September 2014.

Simphiwe Mili is a trainee tax consultant at Nolands. Simphiwe holds a BCom (Hons)



Simphiwe Mili

degree in Tax from the University of Cape Town.



Reimbursements vs Disbursements – the Malaysian Experience

By **Wen Tak Wong**

The GST impact of recovery of expenses is a controversial subject in many countries, not least in Malaysia. The underlying principle is whether expenses

are incurred by the principal (then a separate supply), i.e. a reimbursement, or if expenses are incurred by an agent acting on behalf of its client, i.e. a disbursement.

The Malaysian Customs has pub-

lished guidelines stating all criteria below must be met for treatment as a disbursement:

- (i) Incur expenses as an agent acting on behalf of the client,
- (ii) Client is recipient of the supply

KNOW THE RULES

- (iii) Client is responsible for paying for the supply,
- (iv) Payment is authorised by the client,
- (v) Client knew the supply is made by a third party,
- (vi) The exact amount is claimed from the client with no mark-up, and
- (vii) The payment is clearly additional to the supply made to the client.

Such strict criteria can result in unreasonable outcomes. Reimbursements must be assessed separately against GST orders to determine the GST rate. Since zero rating and reliefs are often granted to specific persons, the principal may not qualify. For example, when lawyers incur land search fees or registration fees, government services are outside the scope of GST. However, when reimbursed, these become standard-rated. Similarly, recovery of medical fees or levy from employees, not subject to GST at source, may be standard-rated. Even transport charges reimbursed by exporters to overseas cli-

GGI member firm
M.S. Wong & Co
 Advisory, Auditing & Accounting,
 Fiduciary & Estate Planning, Tax
 Johor Bahru, Malaysia
 T: +60 7 3328 335
 F: +60 7 3321 643
 W: www.mswongco.com.my
Wen Tak Wong
 E: wt.wong@mswongco.com



Wen Tak Wong

M.S. Wong & Co is a firm based in Johor Bahru. They specialise in helping foreign investors set up business and providing a one-stop service to SMEs. The company performs activities in Audit and Assurance, Tax Compliance and Consultancy, Company Incorporation and Business Setup, and IT Systems Consultancy and Implementation.



Wen Tak Wong is currently the Managing Partner of M.S.Wong & Co. He graduated from Oxford University in Economics and Management, and subsequently qualified with ICAEW. He is also a PwC alumnus. He joined M.S. Wong & Co in 2009 and specialises in Audits and GST.

ents could be caught and standard-rated, since guidelines state only certain businesses qualify for zero-rating. Business

should be mindful of the GST treatment on reimbursements and ensure proper GST clauses are built into agreements.

PIS and COFINS Tax Credit Calculations

By **Fernando Retzler Martins**

It will not be surprising how many grey areas exist in the Brazilian tax legislation. Two of the taxes whose legislation allows for different interpretations are PIS and COFINS, indirect taxes levied on gross revenue and, in most cases, subject to a non-cumulative system that allows the appropriation of credits on 'inputs' applied in the production of goods and provision of services.

PIS and COFINS legislation was enacted many years ago, leaving room for different interpretations regarding the definition of credits to be discounted when assessing the taxes due. Pursuant to this legislation, companies may deduct credits calculated on inputs applied on the provision of services and on the manufacture of goods intended for sale, but our legislation has not clearly defined which inputs may be considered in the calculation of such credits.



As a result, the Brazilian Federal Revenue Service (RFB) issued some Normative Instructions defining, in a very restrictive and conservative way, which inputs are likely to generate credits: raw material, packaging ma-

terial and, intermediate products and other goods that suffer transformation during the process and are not included among the fixed assets of the company. For service providers, inputs are the goods applied or consumed in the provision of services.

Consequential of this very restrictive interpretation adopted by the RFB, taxpayers have begun to question the concept of input for the purposes of PIS and COFINS credits in the administrative and judicial courts.

In the administrative courts, prevailing understanding was that credits could generally be appropriated on inputs considered as essential to the production or provision of services, when directly or indirectly applied in the process. More recently, however, the administrative courts' stance has been that such inputs must be directly related to the production or provision of services. The judicial courts have been pacifying the broader understanding that inputs that generate PIS and COFINS credits are generally those essential to the activity of the company.

Therefore, both in the administrative and judicial courts, the concept of input for credit calculation of PIS and COFINS is not restricted to raw materials, intermediary products and packaging material, but rather all essential elements must be considered, i.e. those without which the production or manufacture of goods or provision of services would not be viable.

Considering these different interpretations, taxpayers who wish to calculate PIS and COFINS credits on inputs that are not clearly accepted by the RFB should discuss such possibility with their legal advisors, analyse the risks and, in certain cases, initiate judicial proceedings to preserve their right and avoid future tax assessments.

GGI member firm
WFaria Advogados
Law Firm Services
São Paulo, Brazil
T: +55 11 3018 7878
W: www.wfaria.com.br
Fernando Retzler Martins
E: fmartins@wfaria.com.br



**Fernando
Retzler Martins**

Fernando Retzler Martins is a Tax Partner at **WFaria Advogados**, a full service law firm in Sao Paulo, Brazil. He provides tax advisory on direct and indirect taxes. Fernando is a certified tax lawyer and certified public accountant in Brazil, a former Partner with Big Four audit firms and a former head of a Brazilian Tax Desk in Germany. Fernando is a Trust and Estate Practitioner (TEP), member of the Brazilian Institute of

Tax Law, German-Brazil Lawyers Association and the Tax Commission of the Brazilian Bar Association. He is an international conferencist and has several articles published.

w>faria
ADVOGADOS

VAT-in-brief: Events, Exhibitions and Conferences

By Toon Hasselman

General

Admission to events that take place in the Netherlands are subject to Netherlands VAT (currently 21%).

Hosts

Hosts need to register for VAT in the Netherlands for admission, ancillary (e.g. use of cloakrooms and sanitary facilities) and catering services, provision of exhibit space and tickets to conference parties. An all-in fee (currently admission: 21%, catering: 6%, hotel and transportation: 6%) may have to be split between its elements.

Deduction of VAT

VAT on event costs is deductible, however, not on catering expenses unless this is first agreed with the Netherlands Tax Service.

Managers

Managers provide a wide range of services in relation to an event, from invoicing in its own name or the name of the host, to any and all support

GGI member firm
LIMES International B.V.
Tax, Advisory, Fiduciary & Estate Planning
Noordwijk, The Netherlands
T: +31 71 750 68 00
W: www.limes-int.com
Toon Hasselman
E: toon@limes-int.com



Toon Hasselman

LIMES International B.V. is an independent international tax consultancy firm specialising in cross-border issues. It focuses exclusively on companies and expats that cross borders, providing them with a broad range of integrated solutions in tax and expat, legal, payroll, immigration and relocation, pension and insurance, HR, and VAT and customs services.

Toon Hasselman is an experienced, distinguished VAT and Customs specialist providing support to both national and interna-

tional companies. He provides simple and practical solutions, and “out of the box” alternatives, and he promotes a no-nonsense approach with a conclusive solution at fair cost. Toon is Global Vice-Chairperson of the GGI Indirect Taxes Practice Group.



services. What the VAT consequences are depends on how the contract between the manager and the host is set up. Regardless, either the host or the manager will be required to register for VAT.

Travel Agency Regulation (TAR)

It may well be that a business

makes supplies that fall under the TAR if such business buys and sells services such as hotel accommodation, passenger transport, or excursions to delegates in its own name. As TAR is a relatively new concept in the Netherlands the application of TAR in relation to event hosts and managers is not totally clear.

VAT Registration/ Ruling

When a foreign manager or host is obligated to register for VAT in the Netherlands, it is a well-established practice to discuss and agree the VAT treatment of an event with the Netherlands Tax Service.





Italian Split Payment Mechanism: An Increase of VAT Credit Positions

By **Francesco Milano**

From 1 July 2017 the Italian Split Payment Mechanism, whereby VAT charged on VAT invoices issued to a public administration is paid directly to the tax office by the public adminis-

tration receiving the invoice, has been extended to other transactions.

In particular, the Split Payment Mechanism also applies to transactions involving the issuance of invoices in respect of:

- subsidiaries of the Presidency

of the Council of Ministers and Ministries;

- subsidiaries of regions, provinces, metropolitan cities, municipalities or associations of municipalities;
- subsidiaries of previous companies;
- companies listed in the FTSE MIB index of the Italian Stock Exchange

The reason behind the adoption of the mechanism is to limit VAT fraud and abuse. The adoption of this mechanism is subject to a “special measure of derogation issued by the Council of the European Union” authorising Italy to adopt the new mechanism to discontinue payments from 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2020.

The main consequence of this intervention will be an increase in VAT receivables for those who are required to issue invoices with Split Payment Mechanism, which may result in cash-flow problems, considering in particular the difficulties and long timelines required in Italy for reimbursement of VAT. These problems may also be exacerbated because of new restrictions introduced to mix the compensation of a VAT credit with other tax debts.

GGI member firm

COMMA 10

Chartered Accountants & Lawyers

Advisory, Auditing & Accounting,

Corporate Finance, Tax

Milan, Italy

T: +39 02 481 9258

W: www.comma10.it

Francesco Milano

E: francesco.milano@comma10.it



Francesco Milano

dividuals, private and public companies as well as non-profit organisations.

Francesco Milano is a chartered accountant and has years of experience in VAT and international tax advising foreign companies with subsidiaries and branches Italy. He is also a member of the Study Commission for ICT in Business Administration of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Milan.

COMMA 10's cornerstone is the professional collaboration between chartered accountants and lawyers and offers its clients complete and inter-disciplinary services related to accounting, corporate and tax services, in the legal area and referring to business area, corporate restructuring and bankruptcy. **COMMA 10** is based in Milan and provides integrated services to in-

COMMA 10
CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS & LAWYERS



Indirect Taxes NEWS

Contact

GGI | Geneva Group International AG

Schaffhauserstrasse 550
8052 Zurich, Switzerland
T: +41 44 256 18 18
E: info@ggi.com
W: www.ggi.com
W: www.ggiform.com

Let us know what you think about FYI – Indirect Tax News, we welcome your feedback. If you wish to be removed from the mailing list, please send an email to info@ggi.com.

Responsible Editor in charge of Indirect Taxes content:

Steve McCrindle
Global Chairperson of the
GGI Indirect Taxes Practice Group

GGI member firm

Haines Watts

Advisory, Auditing & Accounting,
Corporate Finance, Fiduciary
& Estate Planning, Tax
More than 60 offices throughout the UK
T: +44 207 025 4656
E: smccrindle@hwca.com
W: www.hwca.com